On the Dichotomy Between the Muhkam and Mutashābih

Hussein Abdul-Raof*

1. Introduction

In Qur'anic studies, the expression (Muhkam) is the antonym of (Mutashābih). The controversy, however, among Qur'anic exegetes is about the meaning of Mutashābih and the mode of reading or rather the linguistic analysis of Q3:7 and whether Qur'an scholars share with God the knowledge of unravelling the meanings of Mutashābih. The other interesting matter related to Mutashābih is concerned with the translation of this expression as 'ambiguous' while our discussion below illustrates that this word has other meanings and functions. The third matter related to the notion of Mutashābih is the claim made by Orientalists such as Leah Kinberg (2001) that 'the other verses Q11:1 and Q39:23 contradict Q3:7' (ibid:70). The fourth problem is the theological implications posed by Q7:28, Q18:29, and Q76:30, whether they are Muḥkam or Mutashābih, the correlation between the Mutashābih and dissention, and the subsequent controversial issue of free will as opposed to predestination which has been projected through the opposition between Mu^ctazili and mainstream exegetes.

ı

^{*} University of Leeds, UK.

follow the ambiguous part desiring dissension.) (ibid:18) Thus, 'Umar suspected him to be a Kharijite based on the ḥadīth: (qad ḥadhdharakum Allāhu fa'idhā ra'aitumuhūm faḥdharūhum – God has warned you. If you see them, be on your guard.)

The present discussion of the distinction between Muḥkam and Mutashābih statements aims to provide a comparative contrastive account of various exegetes who represent different schools of thought and diverse dogmatic and jurisprudential orientation.

2. Samples of Muḥkam and Mutashābih Statements

We encounter numerous examples of both Muḥkam and Mutashābih āyahs in the Qur'ān.

Examples of Muḥkamāt are like:

Say: 'Come, I will recite what your Lord has prohibited to you... that you may become mindful', Q6:151-153,

Your Lord has decreed that you not worship except Him, and to parents, good treatment, Q17:23,

We made the sperm-drop into a clinging clot, Q23:14),

We made from water every living thing, Q21:30),

He sent down from the sky rain and brought forth thereby fruits as provision for you, Q2:22

Among the Mutashābih staterments are:

﴿ يَسْتَكُونَكَ عَنِ ٱلسَّاعَةِ أَيَّانَ مُرَّسَهَا ﴾ They ask you (O Muḥammad) about the Hour: when is its arrival?, Q7:187),

The Most Merciful who is above the Throne (﴿ أَلْرَحْمَٰنُ عَلَى ٱلْمُـرْشِ ٱسْتَوَىٰ ﴾) - The Most Merciful who is above the Throne established, O20:5),

(﴿ كُلُّ شَيْءٍ هَالِكُ إِلَّا وَجَهَدُ ﴾ Everything will be destroyed except His face, Q28:88),

The hand of God is over their hands, Q48:10), ﴿ يَدُاللَّهِ فَوْقَ ٱيْدِيهِمْ ﴾)

(﴿وَهُوَ ٱلْقَاهِمُرُ فَوْقَ عِبَادِهِ ﴾ He is the subjugator over His servants, Q6:18),

(وَجَاءَ رَبُّكُ ﴾) - Your Lord has come, Q89:22),

(﴿ وَغَضِبَ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِمْ ﴾ God has become angry with them, Q48:6),

- God is pleased with them, Q98:8), چَرْضَى ٱللَّهُ عَنَّهُمْ ﴾)

(﴿ فَأَنَّبِعُونِي يُحْبِبُكُمُ ٱللَّهُ ﴾ Follow me so God will love you, Q3:31),

(فِيْجَنَّةُ عَالِيَةٍ .. فِيهَاعَيْنُّ جَارِيَّةٌ .. وَزَرَائِيُّ مَبْثُونَةٌ ﴾) – In an elevated garden, ... Within it is a flowing spring ... And carpets spread around, Q88:10-16).

3. Linguistic Meanings of the Expressions

Semantically, the word (Muḥkam) is a passive participle (ism $maf\bar{u}l$) from the word (uhkim) and derived from the verb (hakama - to differentiate between the truth and falsehood). It is also semantically related to (al-hikmah - wisdom) and to the nominalised noun (ihkām - excellence, perfection) and thus (Muhkam - the excellent, the perfected expressions or statements.) The expression (Muhkam) also designates the meaning of 'being fortified by their clarity (bayān) and detail (tafṣīl). Therefore, the meaning represented by Q11:1 (﴿كِنَابُ أَخْكِمَتُ ءَايَنَامُهُ﴾ kitābun uḥkimat ayatuhu) is 'a Book whose statements are all perfected'. For al-Baidawi (1999, 1:149), however, the expression (uḥkimat) in Q11:1 means 'ḥufizat min fasād al-ma'nā wa rakākat al-lafz - It has been protected from semantic corruption and weakness of lexical expressions.' The Muḥkamāt are also described as (umm al-kitāb - the mother of the Book; the foundation for Islamic legal rulings). The reason why we find the singular noun form (umm - mother) rather than the plural (ummahāt - mothers) is further evidence to the meaning 'the Muhkam āyahs enjoy the same status and function like a single āyah.' Thus, each āyah functions as umm al-kitāb.

However, the expression (Mutashābih) is also a passive participle and derived from the verb (yashbah – to look alike, be similar). It is also semantically related to the nominalised noun (tashābuh – similarity between two entities in terms of both being perfect in value, quality, and meaning.) Therefore, the meaning represented by Q39:23 (﴿ كَنْبَا مُسَنْدِهَا مَثَانِي لَهُ kitāban Mutashābihan mathāniya) is 'a book whose statements are all identical in value, logically interrelated to each other, and verifying each other.' (Mujāhid 2005:36), al-Qurṭubi 1997, 4:14), al-Qaṭṭān 1990:19-20). For modern philosophical and mystical exegetes like the Turkish scholar Elmali'li Muḥammad Ḥamdi Yazīr, the (Mutashābih) is described as (al-maʿlḥm al-majhūl – the unknowable that is knowable) by which he means that there are many ways through which one can comprehend the meanings of Mutashābihāt (Albayrak 2003:23).

In order to unravel the exegetical meaning of the expression Muḥkamāt, exegetes (al-Rāzi 1990, 7:150, Ibn ʿĀshūr (n.d.) 3:154) have resorted to analyse its underlying nuances through other related expressions such as the word (umm – 'mother') in (هُالِكَتُّ غُنْكُنْتُ هُنَّ أُمُّ) مَّلَكِنْكِ - āyātun Muḥkamātun hunna umm al-kitāb - They are āyahs that are precise and are the foundation of the Book, Q3:7). For them, the expression (umm) means (al-aṣl alladhī minhu yakūn al-shai' - the origin from which something else develops), i.e., everything else belongs to and branches off from the origin. It is like the mother and her children where the mother is the umbrella under which the children take shelter. Rhetorically, therefore, the word (umm) is employed as (tashbīh balīgh - effective simile) meaning (hunna ka'ummin lil-kitāb - they (i.e., the Muḥkamāt) are like the mother to the Book). Thus, we encounter expressions like (umm al-Qur'ān - the mother of the Qur'an, i.e., sūrat al-fatiḥah), (umm al-qura – the mother of cities, i.e., Makkah), (umm al-ra's wahiya al-dimāgh – the brain is the mother of the head), and (al-rayah hiya al-umm - the flag is the mother, i.e., all the army stand underneath it as an umbrella). Based on this semantic analysis of (umm), the Muḥkamāt are well-understood as they are, and that the Mutashābihāt can be well-understood only

through the Muḥkamāt. Therefore, the Muḥkamāt are likened to the 'umm – mother, origin' for the Mutashābihāt. In other words, the Muḥkamāt act as demisting devices for the Mutashābihāt.

Linguistically, however, the word (umm) in Q3:7 occurs in the singular form. The reason for not occurring in the expected plural form (ummahāt) is attributed to the fact that all the Muḥkamāt āyahs account for one single category and they are aṣl al-kitāb (the source of the Qur'ān) (al-Ṭabari 2005, 3:171). This is supported by (وَعَمُنَا أَنَّ وَمُرَا اللهُ وَمُعَلِّمُا اللهُ وَمُعَلِّمُ اللهُ وَمُعَلِّمُا اللهُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعِلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعِلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعِلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعَلِّمُ وَمُعِلِّمُ وَمُعِلِمُ وعِلِمُ وَمُعِلِمُ و

Kinberg (2001:72) brings to our attention the three categories of Mutashābih put forward by Fairūzābādi in his *Baṣā'ir*: (i) those that cannot be understood, (ii) those that can be examined and understood by anyone, and (iii) those that only (al-rāsikhūna fi al-'ilm - those firm in knowledge) can comprehend.

4. Dogmatic Cleavages Among Exegetes

The Mutashābih represents one of the most controversial notions in Qur'anic exegesis and has received divergent semantic interpretations by different exegetes. According to Q3:7, the Qur'ān is divided into two sets of discourse: (i) Muḥkam, and (ii) Mutashābih. This āyah marks the beginning of the development of Qur'anic exegetical methodology.

The Qur'an, however, refers to its discourse as of four distinct categories:

(i) all Qur'anic discourse is Muḥkam, as in (﴿ اَلَوْ عَلِكُ اَلْكِنَكِ الْكِنَكِ الْكِنَكِ الْكِنَكِ الْكِنَكِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ ا

- (ii) all Qur'anic discourse is Mutashābih, as in (﴿ كِنْبَا مُّتَشْدِهِا مَّثَانِيَ ﴾ kitāban Mutashābihan mathāni A consistent Book wherein is reiteration, Q39:23),
- (iii) some of Qur'anic discourse is Muḥkam, as in (﴿ وَقَضَىٰ رَبُّكَ أَلَا اِيَّاهُ وَالْوَلِدَيْنِ إِحْسَنَا ﴾ Your Lord has decreed that you not worship except Him, and to parents, good treatment, Q17:23), and
- (iv) some of Qur'anic discourse is Mutashābih, as in (يَسْتَكُونَكُ عَنِ ﴾ ﴿ يَسْتَكُونَكُ عَنِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ الله

The controversy about the notions of Muḥkam and Mutashābih marks the distinction between mainstream, i.e., traditional, exegesis (al-taſsīr bil-ma'thūr) and non-mainstream, i.e., personal opinion, exegesis (al-taſsīr bil-ra'i). For non-mainstream Muctazili exegetes, like al-Zamakhshari, some āyahs like (﴿ وَمَا نَشَاءُ فَلَكُمُونَ وَمَن شَاءٌ فَلْكُمُون وَمَن شَاءٌ وَلَا لَهُ رَبُّ الْعَلَيْدِي — Whoever wills, let him believe, and whoever wills, let him disbelieve, Q18:29) is a Muḥkam but (﴿ وَمَا نَشَاءُ وَلَا لِا لَا اللهُ الل

The notions of Muḥkam and Mutashābih have also become the battleground for Sunni and non-Sunni exegetes whose commentaries on these notions have been, at times, polemic. For instance, Ibn Kathīr (1993, 1:327) mentions the ḥadīth (qad ḥadhdharakum Allāhu fa'idhā ra'aitumuhūm faḥdharūhum - God has warned you. If you see them, be on your guard) and makes an intertextual link with a section of Q3:7 and then narrates from Imām Aḥmad that the meaning of (fa'ammā alladhīna fī qulūbihim zaighun fayattabiʿūna mā tashābaha minhu - As for those in whose hearts is deviation from truth, they will follow that of it which is unspecific, Q3:7) refers to the Kharijites (al-Khawārij). To substantiate their exegetical points of view, mainstream

exegetes have relied heavily on exegetical hadīths. Ibn Kathir (ibid., 1:328) also mentions a hadīth (inna al-qur'āna lam yanzil liyukadhdhiba ba^cdahū ba^cdan famā ^cariftum minhu fa^cmalū bihī wamā tashābaha minhu fa'āminū bihī - The Qur'ān was not revealed to contradict itself. Act upon whatever you have learned from it and believe in whatever is unclear to you). McAuliffe (1988:61) claims that 'there is strong reliance on exegetical hadīth material by Ibn Kathīr and al-Tabari which is a hallmark of their tafsīr bil-ma'thūr (mainstream exegesis)' as opposed to tafsīr bil-ra'i (personal opinion, non-mainstream, exegesis). This polemic exegesis also applies to al-Hasan al-Başri (1992, 1:202), Qatādah, al-Qurtubi (1997, 4:13, 16), and al-Qinnūji (1995, 2:180, 184). In a similar vein, al-Rāzi (1990, 7:154) argues that the pause at the word (al-cilmi - knowledge) is 'counter to Arabic eloquence.' For al-Qassāb (2003, 1:199), the āyahs 7 and 8 of Q3 constitute conclusive evidence against Mu^ctizilism. He (ibid) argues that 'the word (zaigh – deviation from the truth) proves that the category of people known as ﴿ اَلْرَسِخُونَ فِي ٱلْعِلَمِ ﴾ (al-rāsikhūna fī al-'ilm - those firmly established in knowledge) are not those who fî qulūbihim zaighun) ﴿ ٱلَّذِينَ فِي قُلُوبِهِمْ زَيْئٌ فَيَتَّبِعُونَ مَا تَشَبَهَ مِنْهُ ٱبْتِغَآءَ ٱلْفِتْـنَةِ وَٱبْتِغَآءَ تَأْوِيلِهِۦ ﴾ fayattabi'una mā tashābaha minhu ibtighā'a al-fitnati wabtigha'a ta'wīlihī - Those in whose hearts is deviation from the truth and they will follow that of it which is unspecific, seeking discord and seeking an interpretation suitable to them). Thus, God consoles for not allowing them share His exclusive knowledge of the interpretation of the Mutashābih'. However, the Shī^ci exegete al-Ṭabāṭabā'i (1961, 3:27) and the Shīʿi scholar Mir Ali (2005:253) argue that ﴿ أَلرَّ سِخُونَ فِي ٱلْمِلْمِ ﴾ (alrāsikhūna fī al-cilm) means (ahl al-bait - cAli, his family and grandchildren). In his counter-argument, the Ibādi exegete al-Tafaiyish (1994, 2:9) argues that exegetes who apply the literal meaning to (yadu Allāhi - God's hand, Q48:10), (istawā - God's establishment above the Throne, Q20:5), and the attributes of God as 'fisq - an act of sin' and 'shirk - polytheism'. Also, the Shī'i exegete al-Țabarsi (1997, 2:186) objects to the Sunni meanings given to āyahs like Q48:10 and Q20:5 and claims 'lā yajūzu 'alaihi subhānahū – We cannot attribute this to the al-Mighty.' However, for the Muctazili, Ibādi and Shīci, and Sufi exegetes, the āyahs (﴿ وَإِلَىٰ رَمَّا عَالِمَ وَاللَّهُ كُمَّا عَالِمَ وَا

their Lord, Q75:23), (﴿ وَيُدُاللّهِ فَوْقَ أَيْدِيهِمْ ﴾ The hand of God is over their hands, Q48:10), and (﴿ وَمُثُمَّ السَّوَىٰ عَلَى ٱلْمُرْشِ ﴾ He then established Himself above the throne, Q7:54) are Muhkam while for Sunni exegetes they are Mutashābih āyahs (al-Ṭafaiyish 1994, 2:8, al-Ṭabāṭabā'i (1961, 3:37, al-Tabarsi 1997, 2:186). For the Ibadis, the Muhkam ayahs include the semantically clear ones (wādiḥat al-dalālah) even though they may be abrogated (walaw ihtamalt al-naskh) (al-Tafaiyish 1994, 2:8). In his Jawāhir al-Tafsīr, the Ibādi shaik Aḥmad al-Khalīli (2004:75) is polemical of the Sunni scholars and describes them with pejorative expressions such as hashwiyyah (believers in unworthy matters) and mujassimah (corporealists) and that they are not fit to be called 'salafi' scholars (followers of the companions and the successors) his view, Sunni scholars (yaḥmilūna al-āyāt because. in Mutashābihāt 'alā zawāhir ma'ānīhā - They believe in the exoteric meanings of the Mutashābih āyahs). In the view of al-Khalīlī, esoteric meaning 'is the source of disbelief' (ibid:76).

The exegetical distinction between the Muhkam and Mutashābih has also been dogmatic and directly linked to belief (caqidah). In order to lend support to their theological stance, Mu^ctazili exegetes, such as al-Zamakhshari (1995, 1:332), for instance, claim that since the Muḥkam āyahs are the foundation of the Qur'an, the Mutashābih should be based on them. Therefore, for him, the ayah (﴿ لَا تُدُرِكُ اللَّهُ اللّ Vision perceives Him not, Q6:103) is a Muḥkam on which the āyah (﴿ إِنَّى رَبَّهَا نَاظِرَةٌ ﴾ – Looking at their Lord, Q75:23) should be based on and should be classified as a Mutashābih. Similarly, the āyahs (Ý) ﴿ فَمَن شَآءَ فَلْيُؤْمِن) God does not order immorality, Q7:28 and - يَأْمُرُ بِٱلْفَحْشَآءِ ﴾ Whoever wills, let him believe, and whoever wills, let وَمَن شَاءَ فَلْيَكُفُرُ ﴾ him disbelieve, Q18:29) are Muḥkam on which the āyahs (﴿ أَمُرَنَّا مُرْوَفِهَا ﴾) - ﴿ وَمَا تَشَاَّهُ وَدَ إِلَّا أَن يَشَاَّهُ أَللَّهُ ﴾) We commanded its affluent, Q17:16 You do not will except that God wills, Q76:30) should be based on respectively and that both Q17:16 and Q76:30 should be classified as Mutashābih. Thus, taking Q6:103 as a Muḥkam, the Mu^ctazilites have managed to substantiate their argument that 'the seeing of God will not take place'. In a similar vein, considering Q7:28 and Q18:29 as Muhkam, the Muctazili view of free will and that 'God is not responsible for our good or bad deeds' are also put forward as a counter-argument against mainstream Sunni exegetes who argue that Q7:28 and Q18:29 are Mutashābih and Q17:16 and Q76:30 as Muhkam. Such an interpretation of Q7:28 has political implications. As the Kawārij and the Muctazilites are exponents of free will, for them, the Umayyads and their officials are responsible for their misdemeanors and sins (Watt 1962:31). The Khawārij in particular call for revolt against the ruler who does not apply the Sunnah in his administration (al-Shahrastāni 1986, 1:115). However, this Muctazili approach has been opposed by traditional Sunni exegetes who distinguish between Q6:103 (﴿ لَا تُدُرِكُ الْأَبْصَارُ ﴾ Vision perceives Him not) and Q75:23 (﴿إِلَى رَمَانَاظِرَ اللَّهِ - Looking at their Lord) on linguistic grounds by providing a semantic distinction between the verbs (adraka) and (ra'ā). The verb (adraka) refers to the present life and that no one can 'see' God, a believer or a non-believer, in this life but only the believers will be able to see Him in the hereafter. Also, the (al-abṣāru - vision) occurs in the plural and definite form to signify that a specific category of people, i.e., exclusively the believers, will be able to see Him in the hereafter and not all mankind. In other words, Q6:103 designates the semantically-oriented rhetorical function of partial negation (salb 'umum) and not general negation ('umum alsalb). Semantically, the verb (adraka) occurs in (﴿ قَالَ أَصْحَابُ مُوسَىٰ إِنَّا لَمُدّرَكُونَ ﴾ , Q26:61) and (﴿ حَقَّةُ إِذَآ أَدْرَكُ أَلْغَرَقُ ﴾ , Q10:90) meaning (to reach or get close to something), and has also the meaning of (to get ripe yanduj). Thus, the act of (idrāk) signifies 'reaching something or getting very close to it'. Thus, if the thing we want to see has a beginning, an end, and sides, and 'vision has reached it from all its sides as well as its beginning and end' i.e. (adrakahū al-baṣar), then we have achieved the full act of (idrāk). However, if we cannot see some of the thing we are looking at such as one of its sides or its beginning or end, then this is called partial (idrāk) and thus, the (ru'yā) cannot be called (idrāk). Therefore, we have got two kinds of (idrāk): (i) complete seeing of something with all its sides, beginning and end (ru'yah min ghair iḥāṭah), and (ii) partial seeing of something (ru'yah lā ma^ca al-iḥāṭah). Therefore, Q6:103 signifies the first meaning: (ru'yah ma'a al-ihatah - complete seeing of something with all its sides, beginning and end). Thus, the negation of the act of (idrāk) here signifies the negation of 'one' kind of 'seeing' and not both (al-Rāzi 1990, 13:104)

5. The Controversial Conjunct (wāw)

The controversy over what constitutes a Muḥkam or a Mutashābih begins in Q3:7 which has the connector (wāw) which lends itself to two distinct grammatical analyses that lead to different theological implications whose impact is felt in belief ('aqīdah). There are two grammatically-based different modes of reading to Q3:7 which are:

- (1) The mode of reading where pause is at the word (Allāhu God). This mode of reading is supported by 'A'ishah and major Companion exegetes such as Ibn 'Abbās of the Makkah school of exegesis, Ubai b. Ka^cb of the Madīnah school of exegesis, and Ibn Mas^cūd of the Kūfah school of exegesis. This mode of reading suggests that the sentence ends with the word (Allāhu) and a new sentence begins with the expression (al-rāsikhūna fī al-silm - the firmly grounded in knowledge). Thus, the (wa - and) is grammatically a resumptive pronoun (adāt isti'nāf), i.e., a resumption connector (wāw al-isti'nāf). Grammatically, therefore, the noun (al-rasikhūn) occurs in the nominative case due to the fact that it is an inchoative (mubtada') whose predicate (khabar) is the verb (yaqūlūn - they say). Therefore, the particle (wa) is (waw al-isti'naf). According to this grammatical analysis, 'the firmly grounded in knowledge' do not share the knowledge of the meanings of the Mutashābihāt with God, i.e., knowing the meanings of the Mutashābihāt is exclusive to God. To achieve this mode of reading, there should be a pause after the noun (Allāhu). Phonetically, therefore, the segment within Q3:7 (wamā ya^clamu ta'wīlahū illā Allāhu wal-rāsikhūna fi al-^cilmi yaqūlūna amannā bihi kullun min 'indi rabbinā - No one knows its true interpretation except God. But those firm in knowledge say: 'We believe in it. All of it is from our Lord') should be divided into two semantically oriented units:
 - (i) (wamā ya^clamu ta'wīlahū illā Allāhu No one knows its true interpretation except God), and
 - (ii) (wal-rāsikhūna fi al-ʿilmi yaqūlūna amannā bihi kullun min

'indi rabbinā - But those firm in knowledge say: 'We believe in it. All of it is from our Lord').

This grammatically-based exegesis is based on the view expressed by (﴿ يَّنَ كُمِثْلِهِ شَى كُمِثْلِهِ شَى كُمِثْلِهِ شَى كُمِثْلِهِ شَى كُمِثْلِهِ شَى عَلَيْهِ اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ not surprising, therefore, to find different codices for this segment of Q3:7 in particular in order to substantiate this meaning. For instance, Ibn 'Abbās and Ubai b. Ka'b lend their support to this exegetical view. Thus, their codices include additional exegetical words to achieve their end. According to their codices, we find (wamā yaʻlamu ta'wīlah $\bar{\mathrm{u}}$ illā Allāhu wa {yaqūlu} al-rāsikhūna fī al-filmi āmannā bihī - No one knows its true interpretation except God. But those firm in knowledge say: 'We believe in it') where they add the word (yaqūlu – to say) before the word (al-rāsikhūna); thus, the conjunct (wa) has the grammatical function of resumption (Ibn Abi Dāwūd 1/334, al-Ḥākim 2/289, al-Farrā' 1/191). Ibn Mas'ūd's codex, however, has gone further with exegetical notes but with a similar meaning where we find (wa {in ḥaqiqat ta'wīlahū} illā {cinda} Allāhi wal-rāsikhūna fī al-cilmi yaqūlūna āmannā bihī - However, its interpretation is known only to God, and those firm in knowledge say: 'We believe in it') where the words (mā yaclamu - no one knows its meaning) are dropped and the particle (in) is introduced as well as the word (finda) (al-Farrā' 1/191, al-Ṭabari 3/184); thus, the conjunct (wa) has the grammatical function of resumption. This mode of reading is also supported by other exegetes and linguists like al-Ḥasan al-Baṣri, Mālik b. Anas, al-Kisā'i, al-Farrā', Muqātil, al-Rāzi, Abu Ḥaiyān, al-Qurṭubi, Ibn ʿAṭiyyah. Some Muʿtazili scholars like Abu 'Ali al-Jubbā'ī and the Shī'i exegete al-Ṭabāṭabā'i have also supported this mode of reading.

(2) The mode of reading where pause is at the word (al-'ilm – knowledge). This mode of reading is supported by Mujāhid who was Ibn 'Abās's student. Exegetes have expressed divergent views with regards to the grammatical analysis of the expression (al-rāsikhūn – those firmly grounded in knowledge). For some exegetes, (al-rāsikhūn) is a subject noun phrase with the nominative case (marfūc) because it is co-ordinated to (macţūf calā) the first noun (Allāhu). In other words, the conjunctive particle (wa – and) has the grammatical

function of coordination (waw al-catf) and thus plays a semantic role and makes the two conjoined nouns (Allāhu) and (al-rāsikhūna) of equal importance in terms of knowledge. According to this exegetical grammatical analysis, the noun (al-rāsikhūna) is given the equal weighting to God in terms of knowledge of the meanings of Mutashābihāt. To achieve this mode of reading, there should be no pause after the first noun (Allāhu). This mode of reading is supported by Mu^ctazili exegetes like al-Zamakhshari (1995, 1:332), Shī^ci exegetes like al-Tabarsi (1997, 2:187), Ibādi exegetes like al-Tafavish (1994, 2:10), and Sufi exegetes like al-Alūsi (2001, 2:81) al-Hasani (2002, 1:290) and al-Salami (2001, 1:87). However, al-Rāzi (1990, 7:154), a Sunni Ash^cari exegete, argues that this mode of reading is 'counter to Arabic eloquence' and that the phrase (kullun min 'indi Rabbinā - All of it is from our Lord) supports the first mode of reading above and its subsequent meaning. For al-Razi (ibid), the phrase (kullun min cindi Rabbinā) means that 'al-rāsikhūna believe in what they know about the Qur'an and in what they do not know about it.' However, some mainstream exegetes like al-Naḥḥās (2001, 1:144) and Ibn ^cĀshūr (n.d., 3:164) have also expressed their support for the second mode of reading which considers the particle (wa) as (wāw al-catf).

However, for the philosophical and Sufi exegete, Yazīr, the particle (wāw – and) in Q3:7) constitutes a grammatical Mutashābih. To this end, he argues that Q3:7 can have two different but interrelated and theologically valid interpretations: (i) wamā yaʻlamu ta'wīla kullihī illā Allāhu – No one knows its (the Qur'ān's) comprehensive meaning except God, and (ii) wamā yaʻlamu ta'wīla kullihī illā Allāhu wa alrāsikhūna fī al-ʻilm – No one knows its (the Qur'ān's) comprehensive meaning except God and those who are firmly rooted in knowledge (Abayrak, 2003:28).

5. Exegetical Views on Muḥkam and Mutashābih

Qur'an exegetes have dealt with the notions of Muḥkam and Mutashābih with varying degrees of interest and detail. Their exegetical views can be listed in the following points which aim to ascertain which Qur'anic elements the Muḥkam and Mutashābih can be ascribed to. The following details are based on mainstream

exegetes who adopt traditional exegesis (al-tafsīr bil-ma'thūr) and non-mainstream exegetes who adopt personal opinion (hypothetical) exegesis (al-tafsīr bil-ra'i). It has been argued:

- (i) That all the Qur'ān is Mutashābih. This is based on Q39:23 ﴿ اَللّٰهُ مُزَّلًا اللّٰهُ اللّٰ اللّٰهُ اللّٰ اللّٰهُ الللّٰ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰمُ
 - (a) theological significance,
 - (b) eloquence,
 - (c) rhetorical value,
 - (d) linguistic and stylistic elevation,
 - (e) inimitability, and
 - (f) spiritual therapy.
- (ii) That all the Qur'ān is Muḥkam. This is based on Q11:1 (﴿ كِنَابُ أَنْهِكُ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهِ اللّٰهُ اللّٰ اللّٰهُ الللّٰهُ الللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰهُ اللّٰه
 - (a) inimitable,
 - (b) intertextually related, i.e., the Qur'ān interprets itself,
 - (c) do not contradict each other, and
 - (d) characterised by clarity and detail.
- (iii) That the Qur'an is a blend of both Muḥkam and Mutashābih. This is supported by Q3:7 (﴿ مَا اللَّهُ عَلَيْكُ مُا اللَّهُ مُا اللَّهُ مُا اللَّهُ اللَّال
- (iv) That the meaning of the Muḥkam is known to both God and Qur'ān scholars while the knowledge of the meanings of Mutashābih is exclusive to God. In other words, the Mutashābih is what is ambiguous to the exegete while the Muḥkam is what is clear and self-

explanatory.

- (v) That the Mutashābih represents theological matters whose meanings are exclusive to Allāh. This includes the following notions:
 - (a) knowledge of the final hour (qiyām al-sā^cah),
 - (b) the coming down of Jesus (nuzūl 'Īsā),
 - (c) the coming out of the Cheat, i.e., the Charlatan (khurūj al-dajjāl),
 - (d) the coming out of Gog and Magog,
 - (e) names and attributes of God (asmā' waṣifāt Allāh),
 - (f) theologically-sensitive āyahs that involve expressions such as the seeing of Allāh, the rivers in paradise, and the size, taste, and form of fruits in paradise,
 - (g) unconnected letters at the beginning of some sūrahs (al-aḥruf al-muqaṭṭaʿah or al-muqaṭṭaʿāt). This exegetical view is based on Q3:7 (﴿وَمَا يَعْـنَهُ تَأْوِيلُهُ وَإِلّا اللهُ No one knows its true interpretation except God, Q3:7). The Muḥkam, however, is what is known to exegetes either through their exoteric meaning or through personal hypothetical opinion.
 - (h) the rising of the sun from the west ($tul\bar{u}^c$ al-shams min maghribihā), and
 - (i) the seeing of God on the day of judgement (ru'yat Allāh).
- (vi) That the Mutashābih is whatever that involves more than one meaning, while the Muḥkam is whatever that has one meaning only.
- (vii) That the Mutashābih is semantically non-autonomous, i.e., whose meaning is dependant upon other āyahs for further elaboration, as in (﴿ إِنَّ اللَّهُ لَا يَظْلِمُ النَّاسَ شَيْعًا ﴾ Indeed, God does not wrong the people at all, Q10:44) which is explained by (﴿ يَظْلِمُ مِثْقَالَ ذَرَّةٍ ﴾ Indeed, God does not do injustice even as much as an atom, Q4:40). The Muḥkam, however, enjoys semantic autonomy and clarity and does not require reference to other āyahs.
- (viii) That, on the textual level, the Mutashābih includes:
 - (a) the repeated stories of the Prophets,

- (b) stories of past nations, and
- (c) similitudes (al-amthāl),
- (d) Islamic legal rulings that have different jurisprudential meanings, such as the āyah pertaining the pregnant woman whose husband has died recently, whether the ayah related to the will (al-waṣiyyah) for the inheritors is abrogated or not, and the āyah related to marrying the wife's sister when the first wife dies,
- (e) semantically ambiguous expressions like (lāzib sticky, Q37:11) and (yanzifūn to be intoxicated, Q37:47),
- (f) āyahs which involve the hysteron and proteron (altaqdīm wal-ta'khīr) which lead to structural ambiguity, as in Q18:1-2) where the word (qaiyiman straightforward) occurs at the beginning of the second ayah while we expect it to occur within the first ayah after the word (al-kitāb the Book) because it is the modifier of the word (al-kitāb). The same applies to Q7:188 and Q10:49,
- (g) āyahs which require special syntactic chucking, i.e., pausing (al-waqf), at a given word, as in Q3:7. However, for the Shī^ci exegete, al-Ṭabāṭabā'i (1961, 3:19), Q3:7 is undoubtedly a Muḥkam (Muḥkamah bilā shakk),
- (h) āyahs where the meaning can only be fully understood through intertextual reference to other āyahs, as in Q25:27 which is explained by other āyahs in Q2:167, Q6:31, Q10:54, and Q34:33,
- (i) āyahs which involve ellipsis (al-ḥadhf) as in Q21:52-53, Q26:70-74,
- (j) sūrah-initial unconnected letters (al-muqattacat),
- (k) synonymous expressions that have different shades of meaning like (hasrah regret, Q6:31 and nadāmah remorse, Q34:33), (abaqa to run away, Q37:140, farra escape, Q74:51, nāṣṣ to escape, Q38:3, and haraba to escape, Q72:12), and (ajr reward, Q28:25, thawāb to reward, Q48:18, and jazā' recompense, Q9:26),
- (l) polysemous expressions (al-ashbāh wa l-nazā'ir) that have identical orthographic forms but designate distinct

meanings when they occur in different contexts like (al-hasanah) which means: (i) victory, booty (Q3:120), (ii) monotheism (Q27:89), (iii) abundance in rain (Q7:131), (iv) consequence (Q13:6), (v) forgiveness (Q28:54), (vi) paradise (Q10:26). Similarly, the expression (al-hudā) has 19 different contextual meanings, and (m) expressions whose meanings are different from their meanings in the hereafter such as (anhār – rivers), (fawākih – fruits), (khamr – wine).

- (ix) That, on the textual level, the Mutashābih includes stories of past nations. This involves the following features:
 - (1) expressions that have different shades of meaning when they occur in different stories like (radda to bring back, Q18:36) and (raja^ca to return, Q41:50),
 - (2) when the same story is narrated elsewhere in the Qur'ān with a different style and word order like Q21:52-53 and Q26:70-74 where in both stories Abraham is talking to his father, and also in Q2:35 and Q7:19 where Allāh is talking to Adam and Eve, and
 - (3) expressions that have similar shades of meaning when they occur in different places but belong to the same story, as in (infajarat to gush forth, Q2:60) and (inbajast to gush forth, Q7:160).
- (x) That the Mutashābih includes the abrogated āyahs (al-mansūkh), while the Muḥkam includes the abrogating āyahs (al-nāsikh) and what is legal or illegal (al-halāl wal-harām).
- (xi) That the Mutashābih includes the āyahs that are linguistically and stylistically similar but are semantically dissimilar, while the Muḥkam āyahs are those which do not enjoy these linguistic and stylistic phenomena. For more details, see section 5 below.
- (xii) Expressions that designate God's attributes (ṣifāt Allāh) are Muḥkam for Muʿtazili, Shīʿi, Sufi, and Ibāḍi exegetes because they are clear (wāḍih) and therefore can be provided with exegetical details. In other words, an attribute can be given a semantic analysis. However,

for mainstream Sunni exegetes, Allāh's attributes are considered as unclear and therefore are Mutashābih because exegetes do not know about 'the how' (al-kaifiyyah) of each expression. For instance, exegetes know the semantic details of God's attributes such as the attribute of (al-majī' - coming) in (﴿ وَحَاءً رَثُكَ وَٱلْمَاكُ صِفًا صَفًا ﴾ And your Lord has come and the angels, rank upon rank, Q89:22), the attribute of (al-istiwa' – establishing Himself above the throne) in (الشَّهُ أَسْتَوَىٰ عَلَى) and then He established Himself above the Throne, Q7:54, – ألْعَرْشِ ﴿ the Most Merciful who is above ﴿ ٱلرَّحْمَٰنُ عَلَى ٱلْصُرْشِ ٱسْتَوَىٰ ﴾ . Q10:3, Q13:2 the Throne established, Q20:5), and the attribute of (yadd - hand) in (﴿ The hand of God is over their hands, Q48:10). Thus, these attributes are Muhkam. However, exegetes are unable to unravel (how the coming of God will be like), (how did the istiwa take place), and (how does the hand of God look like). Therefore, although the Arabic lexicon can provide a semantic definition of an attribute of God, it can be of no assistance to the exegete as to 'how' an attribute actually functions or looks like.

(xiii) That the meaning of the Mutashābih can only be derived through hypothetical opinion (al-ra'i) while the meaning of the Muḥkam is directly derived through a given circumstance of revelation (asbāb al-nuzūl).

(xiv) That the Muḥkam, however, includes:

- (a) obligatory duties (al-farā'id),
- (b) reward and punishment (al-thawāb wal-ciqāb),
- (c) promise and threat (al-wa^cd wal-wa^cīd),
- (d) the allowed and prohibited matters (al-halāl wal-harām),
- (e) command and rebuke (al-amr wal-zajr),
- (f) exhortation and admonition (al-mawā iz wal-ibar),
- (g) the abrogating (al-nāsikh),
- (h) that which has one meaning,
- (i) that which refers to monotheism, and
- (j) that which includes detailed ayahs which soundly establish faith (al-īmān) and belief (al-caqīdah).

(xv) That the Mutashābih, for modern philosophical and mystical scholars like Yazīr, is something that can be comprehended and

interpreted although it is semantically or theologically mysterious to others. He, therefore, assigns the Mutashābih the label (al-ma^clūm al-majhūl – the unknowable knowable) (Albayrak 2003:23). Although Yazīr argues that the Mutashābih can only be interpreted in the light of the Muḥkam, he introduces an intertextual link between Q3:6 and Q3:7. This link is based on the attributes of God in Q3:6 (al-cazīz al-ḥakīm – the All-Mighty and the All-Wise). Through this intertextual link, Yazīr (ibid) achieves his mystical goal by highlighting the notion of 'wisdom – ḥikmah' which he argues is linguistically related to Muḥkam. Thus, for him, one can penetrate the mysterious theological world of the Mutashābihāt through the door of the Muḥkamat. For this reason, (﴿الرَّسِتُونَ فِي الْمِلْمِ وَالْمُعْرِينَ فِي الْمِلْمِ وَالْمُعْرِينَ فِي الْمُلْمِينَ وَالْمُعْرِينَ وَال

(xv) That what is a Mutashābih for some exegetes is a Muḥkam for others. For instance, Q7:28 (﴿ وَمَن شَاءَ فَلْمُوْمِن وَمَن شَاءً فَلْمُومِن وَمِن شَاءً فَلْمُومِن وَمِن شَاءً فَلْمُومِن وَمِن شَاءً فَلْمُومِن وَمِن شَاءً فَلْمُ فَلَامِ وَمِن وَمِن شَاءً فَلْمُومِن وَمِن شَاءً فَلْمُومِن وَمِن شَاءً فَلْمُومِن وَمِن شَاءً فَلْمُومِن وَمِن وَمَن شَاءً فَلَمُ وَمِن وَمِن وَمِن وَمِن وَمِن وَمِن وَمِن فَلَامِ وَمِن فَالْمَامِ وَمِن فَالْمَامِ وَمِن فَالْمَامِ وَمِن فَالْمَامِ وَمِن فَالْمَامِ وَمِن فَالْمَامِ وَمِن فَالِمُ وَمِن فَالْمَامِ وَمِن فَالِمِن وَمِن فَالْمَامِ وَمِن فَالْم

6. Linguistic and Stylistic Features of Mutashābih

Having considered the exegetical views on Mutashābihāt, we can claim that we encounter two categories of Mutashābihāt in Qur'ān discourse. These are: (i) theological Mutashābihāt, and (ii) stylistic Mutashābihāt. Theological Mutashābihāt include all the Mutashābihāt that are accounted in the above discussion, such as the abrogated ayahs, names and attributes of God, etc. Our major concern is to introduce a new category of Mutashābihāt in Qur'anic studies. This category of Mutashābihāt includes āyahs that are linguistically similar but stylistically dissimilar. These āyahs may occur in the same sūrah or most likely in a different sūrah. Although there is a subtle stylistic

change between one āyah and another, this stylistic shift triggers semantic nuances. Stylistic Mutashābihāt include:

- (1) word order change, as in: ﴿ اللهُ عَنْ اللهُ عَنْ اللهُ اللهُ
- (2) morphological change, as in ﴿ وَعَالُواْ لَنَ تَمَسَنَا النَّالُ إِلاَّا أَتِكَامًا مَعَدُودَةً ﴾ (waqālū lan tamassanā al-nāru illā aiyāman ma'dūdah And they said: 'Never will the fire touch us except for a few numbered days', Q2:80) and Q3:24 ﴿ وَعَالُواْ لَنَ تَمَسَنَا النَّالُ إِلاَّا أَيْامًا مَعْدُودَتٍ ﴾ (waqālū lan tamassanā al-nāru illā aiyāman ma'dūdāt –) which introduces the word (ma'dudāt a few numbered days) instead of (ma'dudah a few numbered days). Similarly, we encounter the stylistic Mutashābih between ﴿ وَمُعْرَبُ الْمَيْتِ وَمُغْرِجُ الْمَيْتِ مِنَ الْمَيْقِ وَمُعْرَجُ الْمَيْقِ مِنَ الْمَعْقِ مِنَ الْمَيْقِ مِنَ الْمَعْقِ مِنَ الْمَعْقِ مِنَ الْمُعْقِ مِنَ الْمَعْقِ مِنَ الْمَعْقِ مِنَ الْمَعْقِ مِنَ الْمُعْقِ مِنَ الْمُعْقِ مِنَ الْمَعْقِ مِنَ الْمُعْقِ مِنْ الْمُعْقِ مِنَ الْمُعْقِ مِنَ الْمُعْقِ مِنَ الْمُعْقِ مِنَ الْمُعْقِ مِنْ الْمُعْقِ مِلْمُعْقِ مِنْ الْمُعْقِلِ مِلْمُعْقِ مِنْ الْمُعْقِلِ مِلْمُعْقِلِ الْمُعْقِلِ الْمُعْقِلِ مُعْقِلِ الْمُعْق
- (3) case ending change, as in ﴿ وَعَكَ اللّهُ ٱلَّذِينَ ءَامَنُواْ وَعَكِمِلُواْ ٱلصَّلِحَتِ لَهُمْ مَغَفِرَةً ﴿ (wa'ada Allāhu alladhīna āmanū wa'amilū al-ṣāliḥāti lahum maghfiratun wa'ajrun 'azīmun God has promised those who believe and do righteous deeds that for them there is forgiveness and great reward, Q5:9) where the words (maghfiratun wa'ajrun 'azīmun forgiveness and great reward) occur in the nominative case (al-

- marfū°). However, its counterpart is Q48:29 ﴿ وَعَدُ اللّهُ الَّذِينَ ءَامَنُواْ وَعَمِلُواْ وَعَمِلُواْ (wa°ada Allāhu alladhīna āmanū wa°amilū alṣāliḥāti minhum maghfiratan wa'ajran 'azīman God has promised those who believe and do righteous deeds among them forgiveness and great reward) where the words (maghfiratan wa'ajran 'azīman forgiveness and great reward) occur in the accusative case (al-naṣb).
- (4) singular and plural change, as in ﴿ وَالْمِعْمَ جُنْمِينَ ﴿ (fa'aṣbaḥū fī dārihim jāthimīn They became within their home corpses fallen prone, Q7:78, Q29:37) where we have the singular noun (dārihim their home). However, in its counterpart Q11:67, 94 ﴿ وَالْمُعْمِدُوا فِي دِيرَهِمُ لَمْ (fa'aṣbaḥū fī diyārihim jāthimīn They became within their homes corpses fallen prone.), we encounter a plural noun (diyārihim their homes)
- (5) change from the definite to the indefinite, as in: ﴿ وَيَقْتُلُونَ النَّبِيِّنَ بِغَيْرِ (yaqtulūna al-nabiyīna bighairi al-ḥaqqi They kill the Prophets without right, Q2:61) where we have a definite noun (al-ḥaqqi right) but in its counterpart Q3:21 ﴿ وَيَقْتُلُونَ النَّبِيِّنَ بِغَيْرِ حَقِّ ﴾ (yaqtulūna al-nabiyīna bighairi ḥaqqin They kill the Prophets without right), we have an indefinite noun (ḥaqqin right).
- (6) change from the masculine to the feminine noun, as in ﴿ اللَّهُ الللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُو
- (7) change from one pronoun form to another, as in ﴿ الْمِينَ أَجُسَامِنَ هَنِو مِ لَنَكُونَ (la'in anjānā min hādhihī lanakūnanna min al-shākirīn If He should save us from this, we will surely be among the thankful, Q6:63) where we have the third person singular pronoun (huwa he) which is implicit in the verb (anjānā He saves us) as opposed to the second person singular pronoun (anta you (singular)) which is

implicit in the verb (anjaitanā – you (singular) save us) in Q10:22 ﴿ لَكُونَ مِنَ الشَّكِرِينَ ﴾ (la'in anjaitanā min hādhihī lanakūnanna min al-shākirīn – If You should save us from this, we will surely be among the thankful.)

- (8) assimilation of a sound versus non-assimilation, as in ﴿وَمَن يَرْتَكِهُ عَن دِينِهِ اللهِ (waman yartadid minkum 'an dīnihi whoever of you reverts from his religion, Q2:217) and ﴿مَن يُرْتَدُ مِنكُمْ عَن دِينِهِ اللهِ (waman yartadda minkum 'an dīnihi whoever of you should revert from his religion, Q5:54) where we have the letter /d/ repeated in Q2:217 but we have assimilation of the /d/ in Q5:54.
- (9) a section of an āyah that is repeated elsewhere in a different style but with an identical meaning, as in ﴿مَن ذَا ٱلّذِى يَشْفَعُ عِندُهُۥ وَإِلّا بِإِذْنِدِهِ (man dha alladhī yashfa'u 'indahū illā bi'idhnih Who is it that can intercede with Him except by His permission, Q2:255), ﴿مَامِن شَفِيعٍ إِلّا مِن (ma min shafī'in illā min ba'di idhnih There is no intercessor except after His permission, Q10:3), and ﴿مَدُ إِذَنِكَ لَهُ ﴿ وَلَا لَمُنْ اللَّهُ عَندُهُ عِندُهُ إِلّا لِمَن أَذِن لَهُ ﴾ (walā tanfa'u al-shafā'atu 'indahū illā liman adhina lahu Intercession does not benefit with Him except for one whom He permits, Q34:23). The same applies to the sections of Q2:173, Q6:145, and Q16:16 ﴿ مَنْ مَنْ أَضُطُرٌ عَيْرٌ بَاغٍ وَلَا عَادٍ .. عَفُورٌ رَحِيمُ (faman adturra ghaira bāghin walā 'ādin . . . ghafūrun raḥīm But whoever is forced, neither desiring nor transgressing, . . . Forgiving and Merciful).

7. Bibliography

Abū Ḥaiyān, Muḥammad b. Yzsuf (2001). al-Baḥṛ al-Muḥūṭ. 8 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah.

al-Baṣri, al-Ḥasan (1992). *Tafsīr al-Ḥasan al-Baṣri*. 2 vols. Cairo: Dār al-Hadīth.

al-Baiḍāwi, Nāṣir al-Dīn Abī Saʿīd (1999). *Anwār al-Tanzīl wa-Asrār al-Ta'wīl*. 2 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah.

Albayrak, Ismail (2003). 'The notion of muḥkam and mutashābih in the commentary of Elmali'li Muḥammad Ḥamdi Yazīr'. In *Journal of Qur'anic Studies*, vol. 5, issue 1; pp. 19-34.

al-Ḥākim, Abū ʿAbdillāh (n.d.) *al-Mustadrak ʿalā al-Ṣaḥīḥayn*. 5 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Maʿrifah.

al-Ḥasani, Ibn ʿAjībah Abu al-ʿAbbās Aḥmad (2002). *al-Baḥr al-Madīd fi Tafsīr al-Qurʾān al-Majīd*. 8 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah.

al-Ālūsi, Abū al-Faḍl Shihāb al-Dīn (2001). *Rūḥ al-Maʿānī*. 15 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah.

al-Ṭabāṭabā'i, Muḥammad Ḥussain (1961). al-Mīzān fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān. 10 vols. Tehran. Dār al-Kutub al-Islāmiyyah.

al-Ṭabari, Abu Ja^cfar Muḥammad b. Jarīr (2005). *Jāmi^c al-Bayān fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān*. 12 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah.

al-Ṭabarsi, Abu 'Ali al-Faḍl b. al-Ḥasan (1997). *Majma' al-Bayān fi Tafsīr al-Qur'ān*. 10 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah.

Al-Ṭafaiyish, Muḥammad b. Yūsuf (1994). *Taisīr al-Tafsīr lil-Qur'ān al-Karīm*. 15 vols. Cairo: Dār Iḥyā' al-Kutub al-ʿArabiyyah.

al-Farrā', Abu Zakariyya Yaḥyā (2002). *Maʿānī al-Qur'ān*. 3 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah.

Ali, Mir Ahmad (2005). *The Holy Qur'an: The Final Testament*. New York: Tahrike Tarsile Qur'an, Inc.

al-Khalīli, Aḥmad b. Ḥamad (2004). *Jawāhir al-Tafsīr*. Muscat: Maktabat al-Istiqāmah.

al-Qaṣṣāb, Muḥammad b. ʿAli al-Karajjī (2003). *Nukat al-Qurʾān*. 4 vols. Cairo: Dār Ibn ʿAffān.

al-Qaṭṭān, Mannā^c (1990). *Mabāḥith fi ^cUlūm al-Qur'ān*. Cairo: Maktabat Wahbah.

al-Qinnūji, Abu al-Ṭaiyib Ṣiddīq b. Ḥasan (1995). *Fath al-Bayān fi Maqāṣid al-Qur'ān*. 15 vols. Qatar: Idārat Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-Islāmi.

al-Qurṭubi, Abu ʿAbd Allāh Muḥammad b. Aḥmad (1997). *al-Jāmiʿ li-Aḥkām al-Qurʾān*. 20 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kitāb al-ʿArabi.

Al-Rāzi, Fakhr al-Dīn (1990). Mafātīḥ al-Ghaib. 32 vols Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah.

al-Salami, Abu 'Abd al-Raḥmān Muḥammad al-Azdi (2001). *Ḥaqā'q al-Tafsīr*. 2 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.

al-Shahrastāni, Abu al-Fatḥ Muḥammad b. ʿAbd al-Karīm (1986). *al-Milal wal-Niḥal*. 2 vols. Beirut: Dār Saʿb.

al-Sijistāni, Ibn Abī Dāwūd (1415AH) *Kitāb al-Maṣāḥif*. 2 vols. Ministry of Endowments, Qatar.

al-Wahbi, Muḥammad b. Yūsuf (1994). *Haimān al-Zād ilā Dār al-Ma^cād*. 9 vols. Muscat: Wizārat al-Turāth al-Qawmī wal-Thaqāfah.

al-Zamakhshari, Abu al-Qāsim Jār Allāh (1995). *al-Kashshāf*. 4 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah.

a-Naḥḥās, Abu Jaʿfar Aḥmad (2001). *I'rāb al-Qurʾān*. 5 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah.

Ibn 'Āshūr, Muḥammad al-Ṭāhir (n.d.). *al-Taḥrīr wal-Tanwīr*. 30 vols. Tunisia: al-Dār al-Tūnisiyyah lil-Nashr.

Ibn Kathīr, Abu al-Fidā' Ismā'īl (1993). *Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-ʿAzīm*. 4 vols. Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Kutub al-Thaqāfiyyah.

Kinberg, Leah (2001). 'Ambiguous'. In *Encyclopaedia of the Qur'ān*. Leiden: Brill; pp. 70-77.

Leemhuis, Fred (1988). 'Origins and early development of the tafsir tradition'. In *Approaches to the History of the Interpretation of the Qur'ān* edited by

Andrew Rippin; pp. 13-30.

McAuliffe, Jane Dammen (1988). 'Qur'anic hermeneutics: the views of al-Ṭabari and Ibn Kathīr'. In *Approaches to the History of the Interpretation of the Qur'ān* edited by Andrew Rippin; pp. 46-62.

Mujāhid b. Jubair (2005). *Tafsīr Mujāhid*. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah.

Muqātil b. Sulaimān (2003). *Tafsīr Muqātil b. Sulaimān*. 3 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah.

Rippin, Andrew (1988). Approaches to the History of the Interpretation of the Qur'ān. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Watt, W. Montgomery (1962). *Islamic Philosophy and Theology*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Yazīr, Elmali'il Muḥammad Ḥamdi (1982). *Hak Dini Kur'an Dili*. 9 vols. Istanbul: Eser Neşriyat.